General Council for Islamic Banks And Financial Institutions

CIBAFI

المجلس العام للبنوك والمؤسسات المالية الإسلامية

> مؤسسة منتمية لمنظمة التعاون الإسلامي تأسست بمرسوم ملكي رقم ٢٣ لسنة ٢٠٠١م

Affiliated Institution to the OIC
Established under Royal Decree No 23 -2001

Ref. 0419/1505/MA 10th April 2019

Eric Usher

Head UNEP Finance Initiative International Environment House 11-13 Chemin des Anémones CH-1219 Châtelaine Geneva, Switzerland

Dear Mr. Usher,

CIBAFI Comments on the UNEP FI Principles for Responsible Banking

The General Council for Islamic Banks and Financial Institutions (CIBAFI) presents its compliments to the United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative (UNEP FI) and takes this opportunity to express its appreciation of the work that the UNEP FI does to promote sustainable practices within the financial industry.

CIBAFI is an international body representing Islamic financial institutions globally, who offer financial services and products complying with Islamic rules and principles (Shariah). CIBAFI acts as the voice of the Islamic finance industry, and our members comprise more than 130 Islamic banks and non-bank financial institutions, both large and small, from 34 jurisdictions.

We welcome this opportunity to offer our comments and recommendations on the consultative document (CD) of the UNEP FI Principles for Responsible Banking. The comments contained in this letter represent the views of CIBAFI Secretariat and feedback received from our members.

1. We see a very considerable overlap between the Principles and the underlying ideals of

Islamic finance, which see finance as in the service of society and of human development.

The Principles are therefore of great interest to our members. Our annual Global Islamic

Bankers' Survey, the 2019 edition of which will be published in May, this year has a special

focus on our members' contributions to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in

particular. It is clear from this survey that, although there is more that could be done, our

members are very conscious of their social responsibilities, including those to development

and the environment. However, although our members vary in size and location, they are

mainly smaller institutions in emerging markets and therefore need to be able to apply the

Principles in terms of local action. Our subsequent comments largely reflect this

perspective.

2. It is noted within the CD and the Principles that there is generally a focus on

environmental objectives (naturally enough given their genesis in the UNEP). Although

frequent references are made to the SDGs, the main focus is on environmental needs. In

general, smaller banks in emerging markets are well-placed to contribute to some of the

other SDGs, including Goal 1 (No Poverty), Goal 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth)

and Goal 9 (Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure), as well as to Goal 2 (Zero Hunger)

and Goal 10 (Reduced Inequalities), particularly through financial inclusion. Therefore,

CIBAFI and its members believe it would be better if the Principles had a broader emphasis

on the SDGs, including some that smaller and emerging market banks may have more

impact on. It is also recommended for the Principles to be more flexible allowing those

banks to focus on a limited / focused set of goals which have greater relevance in the

respective region.

3. One of the examples in the implementation guidance, under Principle 1, discusses briefly

but helpfully the alignment between the SDGs and Islamic banking. CIBAFI and its

members noticed that there would be scope to expand this further, for example by referring

to the ability to use zakat, waqf and other philanthropic funds in support of the SDGs. Also, the document may expand more on Islamic finance as a medium for the achievement of the Principles due to the natural alignment of its core values with these Principles. This will in turn also encourage Islamic banks to follow the Principles.

More generally, CIBAFI members noted that the examples given within the document are more reflective of practices adopted by large multinational banks than smaller ones; thus, it would be better if the Principles provided more examples of how smaller banks with presence in a limited set of countries or in smaller regions may also adopt them.

For both these reasons, therefore, CIBAFI and its members believe that the document could provide more examples of good practices by Islamic banks, particularly in financial inclusion, in support of education, and in infrastructure financing. These may include Islami Bank Bangladesh Limited's micro finance programme named "Rural Development Scheme" which aims for financial inclusion, poverty alleviation and empowerment of women. Others include initiatives taken by Real Estate Commercial Bank – Sudan, in financing low-income housing complexes and infrastructure (through lighting projects and water supply in villages), and by integrating and empowering the economically active poor into productive economies through providing sustainable financial services to microfinance clients. We are happy to expand on these examples or to offer others if you would find it helpful.

4. Under Principle 1, and under Principle 5, the CD mentions conducting a materiality assessment to determine where a bank's portfolio and service offerings generate or could potentially generate the most significant positive and negative impacts related to the frameworks given. CIBAFI members noted that by limiting the assessment to these portfolios, this may not coincide with the portfolios which are most profitable to the banks. Given that profitability represents one of the main objectives for shareholders, it may be better to also target the portfolios that are most important to the bank and look into how to turn them into creating positive impacts.

5. Under Principle 2, the CD mentions defining and monitoring KPI for portfolio

adjustments over time to increase positive and reduce significant negative impacts. CIBAFI

members noted that as banks aim for profitability, they may sometimes put forward specific

financing or investments to the clients which are not in the best interests of these clients.

Especially in the context of emerging markets, where inclusion and the elimination of

poverty are important goals, such behaviour may itself have negative impacts on

achievement of the SDGs. Thus, the KPIs set should consider the impact on the client, with

a view to reducing the negative impacts generated from retail and small business portfolios

in particular.

6. Also under Principle 2, the CD mentions a number of initiatives to be taken for

continuous improvement. CIBAFI members noted that these practices may be quite costly

on the banks, in addition to their increased regulatory requirements. Thus, it is suggested

for the Principles to give more flexibility for the banks in their implementation, stating

clearly that not all these are enforced on the banks when registering with the Principles.

This is advised to be considered for other initiatives as well. For example, under Principle

3, some of the steps advocated imply that banks can expect to play a significant advisory

role towards their clients on sustainability matters; for most smaller banks, this would

imply a level of expert resource that they could not be expected to have.

7. Under Principle 3, CIBAFI members suggest that the Principles could add that once

banks have identified and classified their retail base, public financial awareness sessions

could be held for increased positive impact. Also, within the examples on encouraging

sustainability when financing corporates, there are examples of corporate internal practices

that could be added, for example supporting economic empowerment for woman and youth

through the improving of their working environment and supporting their development and

growth.

8. Under Principle 4, CIBAFI members noted that some of the points mentioned for

consultation with stakeholders are very broad and may impinge on issues of commercial

confidentiality. It can be very difficult to have discussions on matters of corporate strategy

with a broad stakeholder base in sufficiently detailed terms for them to contribute

meaningfully on the issue of sustainability without breaching this confidentiality. Some

further guidance on this would be helpful.

9. Under Principle 5, the document may include an example of how sustainability auditing

can operate in practice for the effective governance of banks in this area.

10. Under Principle 6, CIBAFI and its members believe that some of the information

provided in the bank's public reporting may be divided in two parts to include internal and

external positive impacts. Internal positive impact would cover the effect on the banks

themselves through resource efficiency, working conditions, policies and practices,

employees' awareness on sustainability issues, etc. while external positive impact would

cover broader impacts on society and the environment.

11. CIBAFI members noted that the timeline of 24 months offered to "starter bank" to

establish targets and demonstrate progress on the targets should be extended as the current

timeline may not be sufficient for banks in smaller regions to demonstrate reasonable

progress on SDGs and other social development goals.

12. CIBAFI members also recommend that registration with the UNEP FI Principles may

be allowed on a solo basis without requiring all subsidiaries of the group to necessarily

comply with the guideline.

هاتف: ۳۰۱ – ۳۰۱ ۷۳ ۱۷ ۳۷۳ با ۹۷۳ با ۹۷۳ با ۹۷۳ با ۹۷۳ با ۹۷۳ با ۹۷۳ با ۲۴ ۲۶ ۲۵ با المنامة عملكة البحرين

5

13. The document employs some terms that are more tailored to conventional banks than

Islamic ones, in particular the term "loans". CIBAFI members believe that this term should

be replaced by "financing" which is broader, and will accommodate Islamic financial

institutions' specificities.

14. CIBAFI members noted that adopting the Principles is likely to involve significant

compliance costs for banks, especially smaller ones. While we recognise that the code is

intended to be a voluntary one, banks will be more likely to adopt it if they are encouraged

and supported by their regulators in doing so. We therefore hope that UNEP FI will be

engaging with financial services and other regulators to create this supportive environment

for implementation.

We remain at your disposal should you need any further clarifications on the above.

Yours sincerely,

Abdelilah Belatik

dises 5

Secretary General